Custom Lunar Strategy Roadmap — Excerpt

Prepared for: AetherForge Components Ltd — Precision Aerospace Manufacturing, United Kingdom
Prepared by: Planetary Horizons Ltd · *Lunar & Mars Strategy Consulting*
Date: 11 June 2026 · **Reference:** PH-RM-2026-008
Engagement lineage: Quick Audit PH-QA-2026-047 · Artemis Accords Readiness Briefing PH-AB-2026-019
Classification: Confidential — Client Use Only
Note: This document is a representative excerpt. The full deliverable includes appendices covering customer dossiers, qualification test plans, funding-call calendars, and a detailed financial model workbook.

1. Executive Summary & Strategic Vision

AetherForge Components Ltd stands at an inflection point shared by perhaps two dozen European precision manufacturers: its core products — high-reliability valves, actuators, thermal control hardware, precision seals, and radiation-tolerant housings — sit squarely inside the component categories the cislunar economy will consume in volume between now and 2040, yet the company currently captures none of that demand.

Strategic vision (2026–2033): *Establish AetherForge as the UK's reference Tier 2/3 supplier of dust-tolerant fluid components and extreme-environment mechanisms for lunar surface systems — first through CLPS and ESA technology programmes, then through recurring production contracts as lunar infrastructure scales.*

The strategy rests on four findings developed across this engagement:

1. **The demand signal is structural, not speculative.** Artemis crewed surface missions, NASA's CLPS task-order pipeline, ESA's Argonaut lander programme, and commercial ISRU demonstrators collectively imply recurring component demand from ~2027, scaling sharply if sustained surface presence materialises around 2030.
2. **The qualified supplier base is thin.** Lunar dust, 14-day thermal cycles, and vacuum tribology disqualify most terrestrial and even most orbital heritage hardware. Suppliers who qualify early face limited competition for 5–8 years.
3. **AetherForge's gaps are closable.** Per the Readiness Briefing, the blockers are administrative (export control, contractual machinery, sub-tier screening) rather than technical, with a 6–9 month, £40k–£90k remediation path.
4. **Public co-funding materially de-risks entry.** UKSA, ESA Terrae Novae/GSTP, and Innovate UK calls routinely co-fund 50–100% of component qualification costs for exactly this supplier profile.

Headline recommendation: commit to a phased entry targeting **first lunar-programme contract within 18 months**, **first flight heritage within 36 months**, and **lunar/Mars revenue of 8–12% of company turnover by 2031** — with clearly defined go/no-go gates limiting downside exposure at each phase.

2. Market Opportunity Assessment

2.1 THE DEMAND LANDSCAPE TO 2040

Horizon	Programme Drivers	Component Demand Character
2026–2028	CLPS task orders (Intuitive Machines, Firefly, Astrobotic, Draper teams); Artemis III preparation; ESA Argonaut development; early ISRU demonstrators (PRIME-1 follow-ons, oxygen-from-regolith pilots)	Low volume, high mix; qualification-heavy; ideal entry window — primes actively scouting suppliers

Horizon	Programme Drivers	Component Demand Character
2028–2032	Artemis sustained-presence build-out (surface habitat, LTV rover, power systems); Gateway logistics; commercial lander cadence increasing; first commercial ISRU plants	Recurring production runs begin; dust-tolerant mechanisms and cryo fluid components become repeat-order items
2032–2040	Lunar base operations and maintenance; propellant depot economy; Mars-transit hardware procurement begins drawing on lunar-proven suppliers	Spares, upgrades, and Mars flow-through; incumbency advantages dominate — suppliers qualified in the 2020s capture disproportionate share

2.2 KEY PLAYERS AND HOW AETHERFORGE REACHES THEM

- **NASA / CLPS primes** (Intuitive Machines, Firefly Aerospace, Astrobotic, Blue Origin, Draper): procure components both directly and via avionics/propulsion integrators. Entry route: respond to supplier outreach at industry days; US engagement requires the export-control programme from Phase 1.
- **SpaceX (Starship HLS) & Blue Origin (Blue Moon MK2)**: vertically integrated but selectively outsource high-reliability fluid components and seals where internal qualification is uneconomic. Entry route: niche specialisation (regolith-rated seals) rather than commodity competition.
- **ESA / Argonaut & Terrae Novae**: the most accessible channel for a UK supplier — geographic-return rules actively favour UK content, and ESA technology programmes co-fund qualification. Entry route: GSTP/TDE proposals via UKSA delegation.
- **ispace, ispace-EUROPE, and emerging lander ventures**: lower qualification barriers, faster design cycles, useful for early flight heritage even at modest contract values.
- **ISRU specialists and university-led payloads**: small contracts, outsized heritage value — a seal assembly on a funded ISRU demonstrator is worth more strategically than its invoice value.

2.3 ADDRESSABLE MARKET SIZING (FULL MODEL IN APPENDIX C)

Bottom-up estimate of the European-accessible lunar component market across AetherForge's five relevant categories: roughly **£120–180M cumulative (2026–2032)**, growing to **£60–100M annually by the mid-2030s** under sustained-presence scenarios. A realistic captured share for a well-executed entry is 1–3% in the entry period rising toward 3–6% with incumbency. These figures are scenario-based estimates, sensitive to Artemis schedule performance; the financial model includes downside cases with two-year programme slips.

3. Capability Alignment & Prioritised Opportunities

Seven product areas assessed against technical fit, competitive intensity, time-to-revenue, and strategic value. Priority tiers reflect the recommended sequencing of qualification investment.

#	AetherForge Product Area	Lunar/Mars Application	Competitive Intensity	Time to First Revenue	Priority
1	**Regolith-compatible seals**	Hatches, drill strings, ISRU plant interfaces, rover mechanisms — dust mitigation is a named NASA technology gap	Low — very few qualified offerings	12–18 months (demonstrator payloads)	**Tier 1**

#	AetherForge Product Area	Lunar/Mars Application	Competitive Intensity	Time to First Revenue	Priority
2	**Cryogenic valves & fluid components**	Lander propellant systems, surface depots, LOX production plants — cryo fluid management is Artemis-critical	Medium — established space-valve houses exist, but lunar-surface ratings are rare	18–30 months	**Tier 1**
3	**Vacuum/dust-rated actuators & mechanisms**	Deployables, excavation, sample handling, docking — must survive –180°C nights and abrasive dust	Medium	18–30 months	**Tier 2**
4	**Thermal control assemblies** (heat pipes, louvres, radiator interfaces)	Lunar-night survival for landers, rovers, habitats — recurrent across nearly every surface asset	Medium-high — strong incumbents, but demand volume is large	24–36 months	**Tier 2**
5	**Radiation-tolerant electronics housings**	Gateway modules, surface avionics, Mars-transit hardware	Medium	24–36 months	**Tier 2**
6	**Precision structural fittings**	General lander/rover structures	High — commoditised, price-driven	12–24 months	Tier 3 (opportunistic only)
7	**Mars-environment variants** (dust + CO_2 atmosphere ratings)	Mars sample return support, transit vehicles, late-2030s surface systems	Low at present	5–8 years	Tier 3 (watching brief; design-for-Mars option preserved in Tier 1 qualification plans)

Portfolio logic: Tier 1 lines (seals, cryo valves) carry the qualification investment and brand positioning; Tier 2 lines ride on the customer relationships and test infrastructure Tier 1 creates; Tier 3 is pursued only when customer-funded.

4. Phased Implementation Roadmap

PHASE 1 — FOUNDATION & FIRST ENGAGEMENT (MONTHS 0–12)

Workstream	Milestones	Gate Criteria (exit)
Compliance (per PH-AB-2026-019)	Export classifications complete (M3); Technology Control Plan live (M4); denied-party screening operational (M4)	Cleared for US/ESA prime data exchange

Workstream	Milestones	Gate Criteria (exit)
Qualification	Regolith seal test campaign defined against NASA/ECSS dust standards (M5); thermal-vacuum + dust-chamber testing of lead seal product (M6–M11)	Test report demonstrating dust-cycling survival
Funding	UKSA/ESA GSTP proposal submitted (M4–M6); Innovate UK bid as fallback	≥1 co-funded qualification award
Market	Attend 2–3 lunar supplier days (Space Comm, ESA Industry Days, LSIC); 10 qualified prime/integrator conversations; 2 demonstrator payload LOIs	First contract or funded LOI signed

Go/no-go Gate A (Month 12): proceed to Phase 2 only with (a) compliance programme operational, (b) seal qualification data in hand, and (c) at least one signed contract, funded LOI, or co-funding award. Otherwise, hold investment and re-assess at Month 18.

PHASE 2 — HERITAGE & RECURRING REVENUE (YEARS 1–3)

- **Flight heritage:** seal and/or valve hardware flown on a CLPS or commercial demonstrator mission (target: Month 24–30). Heritage is the single most valuable asset in this market — Phase 2 success is defined by it.
- **Second product line qualified:** cryogenic valve family completes lunar-surface qualification, leveraging Phase 1 test infrastructure and co-funding relationships.
- **Customer base:** 3–5 active customers spanning at least two programmes (e.g. one CLPS prime, ESA Argonaut supply chain, one ISRU venture) — deliberate diversification against single-programme schedule risk.
- **Commercial machinery:** space-specific T&Cs, liability cover, and clause-readiness positions (Briefing §4) exercised in ≥3 negotiations.
- **Organisation:** dedicated Space Business Development lead hired (Month 14); quality team trained on NASA human-rating documentation flow-downs.

Go/no-go Gate B (Month 36): flight heritage achieved + cumulative space revenue ≥ £750k → commit to Phase 3 scale-up. Heritage achieved but revenue lagging → continue at maintenance investment. Neither → orderly wind-down of dedicated investment, retaining qualified designs as options.

PHASE 3 — SCALE & INCUMBENCY (YEARS 3–7+)

- Transition from qualification-led to **production-led contracts** as sustained-presence infrastructure (habitat, LTV, power, ISRU plants) enters procurement (~2029–2032).
- Expand into Tier 2 product lines (actuators, thermal assemblies) on the back of established prime relationships.
- **Mars option exercised:** Tier 1 products offered in Mars-environment variants as Mars Sample Return support and transit-vehicle procurement matures; lunar heritage becomes the qualification argument.
- Evaluate **strategic moves**: dedicated space production cell, second-source partnerships with US manufacturers (export-control permitting), or participation in UK/ESA lunar infrastructure consortia.
- Target state by 2031–2033: lunar/Mars segment contributing **8–12% of turnover** at margins above terrestrial aerospace baseline, with a defensible incumbency position in dust-tolerant fluid components.

5. Regulatory, Partnership & Risk Considerations

5.1 REGULATORY SPINE

The full regulatory analysis appears in the Readiness Briefing (PH-AB-2026-019); the roadmap-relevant summary:

- **Artemis Accords alignment** functions as a market-access standard. The Phase 1 compliance workstream (export control, transparency-ready data packs, registration support, debris-mitigation documentation) is sequenced *before* prime engagement because primes screen for it pre-bid.
- **UK regime:** Outer Space Act 1986 / Space Industry Act 2018 licensing sits with operators, not component suppliers — but AetherForge's data packs feed customers' licence and registration obligations, and late or inaccurate data is a contract-killer.
- **Export control is the long pole:** ITAR exposure on US programmes constrains which designs, data, and even marketing materials can cross the Atlantic. The roadmap deliberately balances US (CLPS) and European (ESA) channels so neither regulatory regime gates the whole strategy.

5.2 PARTNERSHIP STRATEGY

- **ESA geographic return** is AetherForge's structural advantage — prioritise Argonaut and Terrae Novae supply chains where UK content is actively sought.
- **University and agency-lab partnerships** (e.g. lunar-simulant dust testing facilities) reduce qualification cost and add credibility to test campaigns.
- **Anchor-customer posture:** seek one prime relationship deep enough to co-develop the regolith seal line; exclusivity offered only against committed volumes.

5.3 TOP RISKS AND MITIGATIONS

Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigation
Artemis schedule slip (1–2 yrs)	High	Medium	Diversify across CLPS/ESA/commercial; gate-based investment limits exposure; financial model includes slip scenarios
Qualification failure (dust/thermal)	Medium	High	Early simulant testing before full campaign; design margins set from NASA dust-environment data
Export-control breach	Low (with programme)	Severe	Phase 1 TCP, training, and screening; counsel review pre-US engagement
Prime insolvency / programme cancellation	Medium	Medium	≤30% of pipeline with any single customer from Phase 2
Talent gap (space-qualified engineers)	Medium	Medium	Early hire of space BD lead; partner with test houses rather than building all capability in-house
Price competition from US incumbents	Medium	Medium	Compete on dust-tolerance niche and ESA geographic return, not on commodity price

6. Resource Requirements, Revenue Projections & Metrics

6.1 INVESTMENT PROFILE (ILLUSTRATIVE, FULL MODEL IN APPENDIX C)

Phase	Internal Cost	Expected Co-funding Offset	Net Exposure
Phase 1 (0–12m)	£180k–£260k (compliance £40–90k; qualification £100–140k; BD £30–40k)	£60k–£120k (UKSA/ESA/ Innovate UK)	**£90k–£170k**
Phase 2 (1–3y)	£350k–£550k (second qualification, hire, flight unit costs)	£120k–£250k	**£200k–£350k**
Phase 3 (3–7y)	Scales with contracted demand; production investment customer-backed	—	Self-funding target from Year 4

6.2 REVENUE SCENARIOS (CUMULATIVE, ILLUSTRATIVE)

Scenario	By end Year 3	By end Year 7	Key assumption
Conservative	£0.5M	£3–5M	Two-year Artemis slip; CLPS cadence halves
Base case	£1.0–1.5M	£8–12M	Current programme timelines broadly hold
Upside	£2.5M	£18–25M	Sustained presence on schedule; ISRU commercialises early; AetherForge achieves anchor-supplier status in seals

Break-even on cumulative net investment occurs in **Year 3–4 (base case)**; the gate structure caps total at-risk capital at roughly £250k–£400k before recurring revenue must justify continuation.

6.3 METRICS FOR SUCCESS (BOARD DASHBOARD)

- **Heritage:** flight units delivered / flown (the dominant leading indicator)
- **Pipeline:** qualified opportunities ≥ 3× annual space revenue target; no customer >30% of pipeline
- **Qualification:** product lines holding current lunar-surface test reports (target: 2 by Month 30)
- **Compliance:** zero export-control findings; 100% on-time registration data packs
- **Financial:** space segment gross margin ≥ terrestrial baseline +5pts by Year 4; co-funding capture ≥ 40% of qualification spend

7. How Planetary Horizons Supports Full Implementation

This roadmap is designed to be executed, not filed. Planetary Horizons supports implementation through:

- **Quarterly strategy reviews** against the gate criteria above, with honest go/no-go recommendations — including the recommendation to stop, if the evidence says so.
- **Funding-call navigation:** drafting support and agency-relationship guidance for UKSA, ESA GSTP/TDE, and Innovate UK submissions.
- **Prime-engagement preparation:** dossiers on target customers, introduction sequencing, and negotiation support on Accords-derived contract clauses.

- **Regulatory watch:** monitoring of Artemis Accords implementation, UK licensing reform, and export-control developments affecting the plan's assumptions.
- **Full financial model handover** with scenario toggles, maintained jointly through Phase 1.

Ready to explore *beyond?*

This roadmap is built to be executed. The companies that will supply the lunar economy of the 2030s are qualifying their products now — the gate criteria on these pages tell you exactly where to start.

Planetary Horizons Ltd · United Kingdom
Email: **hello@planetaryhorizons.space** · Web: **planetaryhorizons.space**

Book your free 30-minute discovery call →

No obligation — just a clear, honest conversation about what's possible for your organisation beyond Earth.

Market sizes, costs, and revenue figures are scenario-based estimates prepared for strategic planning and are sensitive to programme schedules and procurement decisions outside AetherForge's control. This document does not constitute legal, export-control, or investment advice.